

**EVALUATION FORM  
FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH GRANT SCHEME (FRGS)  
(VER. 1/2019)**

**SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT**

Very Poor	Poor	Acceptable	Good	Very Good
1 - 2	3 - 4	5 - 6	7 - 8	9 - 10

NO.	ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	SCORE (1-10)	ACTUAL SCORE	(COMPULSORY IF SCORE GIVEN ARE LOWER THAN 5 FROM EACH ASSESSMENT CRITERIA)
<b>1.</b>	<b>Title* (5%)</b>			
	Specific in nature reflecting fundamental issues to be resolved/novelty			
	Brief and reflects the content of the proposal			
<b>2.</b>	<b>Executive Summary* (10%)</b>			
	Problem statement			
	Objectives			
	Methodology			
	Expected output/outcome/implication			
	Significance of output			
<b>3.</b>	<b>Research Background* (15%)</b>			
	Elaboration of title			
	Clarity of problem statement and research question/hypothesis/theoretical framework (if applicable)			
	Cited most recent (last 5 years) related references			
	In line with government policy, national agenda and global aspiration (can help alleviate problem at local, national or world level)			
<b>4.</b>	<b>Objectives* (15%)</b>			
	Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic and within Time-frame (SMART)			
	Relate to problem statement/research question			
<b>5.</b>	<b>Methodology* (25%)</b>			
	Clear and detailed description of methodology (may consist of field work, sampling techniques, interview session, analysis, lab work of different phases, experimental protocol, statistical analysis)			

NO.	ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	SCORE (1-10)	ACTUAL SCORE	(COMPULSORY IF SCORE GIVEN ARE LOWER THAN 5 FROM EACH ASSESSMENT CRITERIA)
	Able to achieve research objectives			
	Include research design, flow chart, Gantt chart, activities and milestones			
<b>6.</b>	<b>Expected Results* (10%)</b>			
	New theory or new findings/knowledge			
	Publication in indexed journals (top tier)/Intellectual property			
	Talent - Masters or PhD			
	Impact on society, economy and nation			
<b>7.</b>	<b>Track Record and Composition of Team* (5%)</b>			
	Evidence of previous successful research projects			
	Qualification and rank of researchers			
	Well balanced team			
<b>8.</b>	<b>Quality of Proposal* (10%)</b>			
	Meticulous			
	Proper use of language (grammar, spelling, sentence construction)			
	Good formatting and presentation			
<b>9.</b>	<b>Elements of FRGS Criteria* (5%)</b>			
	Novel, cutting edge, high impact			
<b>Total Actual Score</b>				